

Start here.

a) i) The slave had killed a boar with a spear. At this time, the regulations of the province was that no slave could have a weapon, as ~~they~~ the authorities did not want the slaves to uprising against the citizens. ~~For~~ This slave, because he had a weapon, was therefore killed, by the ^{order of the} praetor, Donitius.

ii) This story was included by Cicero, to create ~~an~~ ~~an~~ an image of what occurs in Sicily. ~~An~~ No slave could have a weapon, which was identified in the story, therefore, Cicero is showing the overwhelming strictness of the masters ~~to~~ to obey the rules, as the slave in the ~~story~~ Latin was killed. Cicero used this story to show how the province was not in any danger of a slave uprising, therefore Verres could not establish that because there was a Slave War in Italy, that there was a war in Sicily. Therefore, Cicero is

disputing any claim that Verres, had that he prevented the slave uprising in Sicily by his governorship. In fact, it was by the regulations of all the masters ^{and praetors} which allowed this to occur.

b) Cicero discredits Verres' character in this extract through language features and stylistic devices.

Cicero uses the demonstrative pronoun 'isti' to describe Verres, depicting a very scathing tone. Furthermore, the word placement of 'in acta' at the beginning of the clause, highlights how Verres is relating and not working. ~~When~~ This is further portrayed through the adjective 'ebrius', which displays his misconduct. Indeed, Cicero further denounces his character with the use of the diminutive 'mulierculis', depicting the "loose women", Verres holds in his company. It is from these words ⁱⁿ which ^{Cicero} ~~discredits~~ ~~Verres~~

Additional writing space on back page.

